Special Meeting Minutes

June 29, 2021, 3:00 – 5:00 PM

Meeting Location

In compliance with County, State, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention directives related to the COVID-19 public health emergency, this meeting was held remotely via webinar and conference call.

Attendance

Name	Attendance	Name	Attendance	
SCHA Voting Members				
Chet Hewit, Chair	⊠Present	Kyle Stefano	⊠Present □Absent	
Addie Hernandez	☐Present ☐Absent	Lisa Packard	☐Present ⊠Absent	
Ann Boynton	⊠Present	Marvin Kamras, MD	⊠Present □Absent	
Debra Payne	⊠Present	Michelle Monroe	☑Present ☐Absent	
Edwin Kirby, Vice-	⊠Present		☐Present ⊠Absent	
Chair		Patricia Sherrod		
Jerry Bliatout		Phil Jackson	☑Present ☐Absent	
Chevon Kothari		Ravinder Khaira, MD	☑Present ☐Absent	
John Landefeld, MD		Ryan Quist	☑Present ☐Absent	
Jonathan Porteus		Stacy Lorenzen	☑Present ☐Absent	
Kim Williams		Supervisor Patrick	☑Present ☐Absent	
		Kennedy		
SCHA Non-Voting Members				
Janice Milligan	⊠Present	Martha Santana-Chin	☐Present ⊠Absent	
Les Ybarra	☑Present ☐Absent	Thiru Rajagopal, MD	☑Present ☐Absent	
County Staff to SCHA		Consultants to SCHA		
Jenine Spotnitz	☑Present ☐Absent	Lisa Chan-Sawin	⊠Present	
		Dawn Hawkins Johnson		

Agenda Item	Time
1. Welcome/Opening Remarks and Updates – Chet Hewitt, Chair Chair Chet Hewitt convened the meeting at 3:04 pm. He noted that since last general Commission meeting, there was a special meeting on the draft DHCS RFP, and that the two SCHA committees have each met twice to review MCP responses to CalAIM Part 1 Vetting Questions and the draft DHCS RFP.	3:00 – 3:08 pm
2. Agenda Review and DHCS Updates – Jenine Spotnitz, Sacramento County Department of Health Services Jenine Spotnitz reviewed the agenda and stated that DHCS had informed her that they would not share content from the procurement process, including MCP proposals, prior to making awards. The Commission will consider other options for obtaining information from	3:08 – 3:15 pm

MCPs, such as requesting letters of attestation and/or a separate RFP process, to inform the selection of MCPs to recommend.	
3. Discussion and Action: Managed Care Plans (MCP) CalAIM Part 1 Questions and Comments – Chevon Kothari	
Chevon Kothari stated that the Committees have reviewed the content that MCPs intend to submit to DHCS for their CalAIM Part 1 Model of Care, and drafted preliminary feedback based on those submissions. The intent of this feedback is to work in partnership with the MCPs and influence their future submissions. The review timeline was fast in order to provide preliminary feedback to MCPs prior to their submissions to DHCS on July 1. Responses were not scored at this time, as that will occur during the comprehensive vetting process, which the Commission will review options for and develop its approach in July.	3:15 – 4:00 pm
The Committees focused on content areas in which there was the greatest variation among plans, which were in primarily regarding ILOS. Most plans articulated the 4-5 ILOS that they would initially provide, and a few noted additional ILOS to be added over time. Only one plan stated they would provide all 14 ILOS, ramping up over time. The other area of focus was provider network development. MCP responses in this area were similar, but the Committees noted this may need to be an area of additional consideration and development.	
4. Discussion and Action: DHCS Draft MCP Request for Proposal – Jenine Spotnitz, Sacramento County Department of Health Services	
 Jenine Spotnitz reviewed draft comments and questions received regarding DHCS's draft MCP RFP. Main points discussed included: Noting that the majority of the RFP content has not yet been released, Committee members would like an opportunity to review the draft sections that have not yet been released prior to the state's release of the final RFP package in order to provide input on key areas. 	4:00 – 4:40 pm
 Concerns were raised about the lack of mention and inclusion/ of public health departments, including in population health management. The Commission discussed at length the maximum number of plans 	
DHCS is proposing for Sacramento County (2 plans).	
Some Sacramento County Health Authority Commission members were concerned that limiting maximum number of plans to two would	

add complexity to the current environment for providers, while other Commissioners voiced support of the DHCS plan for a 2 plan limit and thought it could reduce complexity for providers and patients, provided that the same degree of oversight applied to the MCP is applied to all subdelegated resources. This led to a discussion of assessment of complexity due to delegation activities, and the need for clarity from the plans on how continuity of care related issues would be addressed. Another Commissioner referenced previous work of the Managed Care Advisory Committee for Sacramento County, which proposed a GMC Solution comprised of Kaiser and two other MCPs. • QIQA committee members stated their desire to see how MCPs are ensuring quality, accessibility, and continuity of care. The QIQA committee would like to see a robust assessment of network adequacy, including analysis of adequacy of shared networks. Jonathan Porteus moved to approve the Commission's comments for DHCS regarding the draft MCP Request for Proposal. Ryan Quist seconded the motion. The motion carried.	
5. Public Comment – One comment per person, limited to two minutes. Mike Jaske from the Continuum of Care Board stated that despite the discussion about MCP coordination of ECM and ILOS activities, responses about which ILOS activities MCPs intended to provide were quite different. He noted that Anthem's offerings were very extensive, and other MCPs were less so. He stated that Sacramentans really need uniform coverage, and that this Commission should find some way to urge the plans to have uniform coverage for all of the named services. Aaron Starfire from Aetna stated that he and Aetna are taking an active listening stance at this time. He noted that the DHCS model of care submissions are due the day after tomorrow, and that that robust information seems to be exactly what the committees looking to see. While it is being prepared for submission to DHCS, Aetna is taking an active listening stance, and looks forward to participating in the future.	4:40 – 4:50 pm
 6. Closing Comments, Next Steps, and Adjournment – Chet Hewitt, Chair Chet Hewitt announced the next meeting on July 20, 2021 from 3:00 to 5:00 PM. He adjourned the meeting at 4:36 pm. 	4:50 – 5:00 pm

Members of the public are encouraged to attend. Public comments are accepted during designated time. Electronic or hard copies of documents are available upon request. To request documents, or if you wish to attend and need to arrange for an interpreter or a reasonable accommodation, please contact Jenine

Spotnitz at SpotnitzJ@saccounty.net or (916) 216-1782. Electronic copies of documents will be emailed upon request and hard copies of documents may be picked up at 7001-A East Parkway, Sacramento, CA 95823. For more information regarding the Sacramento County Health Authority Commission, please visit our website at Sacramento County Health Authority.